## ORDER SHEET WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

The Hon'ble JUSTICE RANJIT KUMAR BAG

& The Hon'ble DR. SUBESH KUMAR DAS

Case No - <u>OA 51 OF 2016</u>

## Subrata Sankar Roy Vs The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessa
1		3
18	For the Applicant : Mr. M. Karim,	
27.02.2010	Mr. M. Islam,	
27.02.2019	Mr. S. Haque,	
	Mr. S.S. Mandal,	
	Learned Advocates.	
	For the State Respondents : Mr. S. Ghosh,	
	Learned Advocate.	
	For A.G., W.B. : Mr. B. Mitra,	
	Departmental Represenative.	
	The applicant has prayed for direction upon the	
	respondents for refund of an amount of Rs. 1,00,506/-	
	which was recovered from the retiring gratuity and leave	
	encashment of the applicant on the ground of excess	
	payment due to wrong fixation of pay. The applicant	
	retired from the post of Assistant Sub-Inspector of Police	
	on June 13, 2015 from the establishment of the respondent	
	no. 3. It appears from the reply submitted of the state	
	respondents that an amount of Rs. 93,406/- was recovered	
	from the retiring gratuity of the applicant and an amount	
	of Rs. 7,100/- was recovered from the amount of leave	
	encashment of the applicant.	
	The issue for consideration of the Tribunal is	
	whether the applicant is entitled to get refund of	

ORDER SHEET

Form No.

Subrata Sankar Roy

Vs. The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. <u>OA 51 OF 2016</u>

.....

*Rs.93,406/- as retiring gratuity and Rs. 7,100/- as leave encashment.* 

Having heard Learned Counsel representing both parties, we find that there was excess of payment of Rs. 93,406/- to the applicant due to wrong fixation of pay while applicant in service. However, the wrong fixation of pay was detected and order for recovery of excess payment from retiring gratuity was made after retirement of the applicant from service. However, the amount of leave encashment was calculated on the verge of the retirement of the applicant though the amount of leave encashment was payable to the applicant only after his The applicant received the retirement from service. amount of leave encashment on the date of his retirement from service on June 13, 2015 due to wrong fixation of pay of the applicant while he was in service and the order for recovery of excess payment of Rs. 7,100/- was passed on August 26, 2015.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court has summarised the following situations in paragraph 18 of the judgment of "State of Punjab v Rafiq Masih" reported in (2015) 4 SCC 334, when recovery of excess payment by the state respondents would not be permissible in law :

".... (i) Recovery from the employees belonging to Class III

Subrata Sankar Roy

Form No.

Vs. The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. <u>OA 51 OF 2016</u>

.....

and Class IV service (or Group C and Group D service); (ii) Recovery from the retired employees, or the employees who are due to retire within one year of the order of recovery; (iii) Recovery from the employees, when the excess payment has been made for a period in excess of 5 years, before the order of recovery is issued; (iv) Recovery in cases where an employee has wrongfully been required to discharge duties of a higher post, and has been paid accordingly, even though he should have rightfully been required to work against an inferior post; (v) In any other case, where the Court arrives at the conclusion that recovery if made from the employee, would be iniquitous or harsh or arbitrary to such an extent as would far outweigh the equitable balance of the employer's right to recover." We have laid down in the case of "Bireswar Dey v State of West Bengal & Ors." (OA-1045 of 2014 decided on August 20, 2018) that state respondents cannot invoke the provisions of Rule 140(2) of the West Bengal Services

Form No.

Subrata Sankar Roy

....

Vs. The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. <u>OA 51 OF 2016</u>

.....

(Death-cum-Retirement Benefit) Rules, 1971 for recovery of excess payment of pay and allowances from the retiring Gratuity of the Government employee, particularly when the recovery of over payment from retiring gratuity is done after prolonged period from the date on which the said recovery would have been effected and thereby causing hardship to the applicant to such an extent, which will outweigh equitable balance of the right of the Government to recover the same.

By following the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of "State of Punjab v Rafiq Masih" (Supra) and the decision of "Bireswar Dey v State of West Bengal & Ors." (Supra), we are of the view that the state respondents are bound to refund an amount of Rs.93,406/to the applicant. Since the amount of leave salary was payable to the applicant after retirement and since the same was recovered due to excess payment within a period of 2 (two) months after payment, we are of the view that the principle of law enunciated by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of "State of Punjab v Rafiq Masih" (Supra) will not apply in case of recovery of Rs. 7,100/- as excess payment of leave encashment of the applicant. So, the applicant is not entitled to recover the said amount of Rs. 7,100/- as excess payment of leave

## **ORDER SHEET**

Form No.

Subrata Sankar Roy

••••

Vs. The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. <u>OA 51 OF 2016</u>

.....

	encashment.			
	In view of our findings	s the respondent no. 3,		
	Commandant, 9 <sup>th</sup> Bn. State Ar			
	refund an amount of Rs. 93,406			
	period of 12 (twelve) we			
	communication of the order.			
	With the above direction,			
	disposed of.			
	Let a plain copy of the			
	parties.			
		( <b>-</b> ( <b>/ - / / - / / / / / / / / / /</b>		
	( S.K.DAS) Member ( A)	( R.K.BAG) Member (J)		
H.S				